

# MATH 551 - Problem Set 9

Joe Puccio

October 30, 2014

1. Consider  $x \in S^2$  such that  $T_x$ , that is, the tangent space of  $x$ , shares the same normal vector as  $P$  (that is, in a sense,  $x$  is a pole of  $A$ ). This will be our candidate for point  $C \in S^2$  which is equidistant from all points on  $A$ . Now consider the great circle,  $G$ , of  $S^2$  which is parallel to (does not intersect with) the “latitude”  $A$  (note that in the case where  $A$  is a great circle of  $S^2$ , we choose  $A$  to be  $G$  and our argument will still hold). Now, because  $A$  and  $G$  are parallel, we know that  $x$  must too be a pole of  $G$ . Because  $x$  is a pole of  $G$  and  $G$  is a great circle, we know that the distance from  $x$  to any point on  $G$  is  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ , and because  $A$  and  $G$  are parallel we know that the spherical segments connecting points on  $A$  and  $G$  are of equal length, call that length  $c$  (side note: this can be seen by choosing any great circle,  $L$ , on  $S^2$ , and connecting the points of  $A$  and  $G$  only by using the spherical segments whose poles are on  $L$ ). Now, we know that the distance between  $x$  and  $G$  as  $\frac{\pi}{2} = r + c$ , where  $c$  is the constant mentioned earlier, well, solving for  $r$  we have  $r = \frac{\pi}{2} - c$  and because we know that  $c$  is constant, we can conclude that  $r$  is constant (and because the max value of  $c$  is  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ , we know  $r > 0$ ). Thus, we have shown that  $x$  is exactly the point  $C$  we were looking for, and therefore  $A$  is a circle in spherical geometry.  $\square$

2. Let the components of  $v$  be  $v_1, v_2, v_3$ . Because we know that vectors in  $v^\perp$  are all only those vectors  $x = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle$  that satisfy  $v_1x_1 + v_2x_2 - v_3x_3 = 0$  where  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  are constants, we see that a choice of any two  $x$  components immediately fixes the third (e.g.  $x_3 = \frac{v_1x_1 + v_2x_2}{v_3}$ ), that is, there are only two degrees of freedom, which means that the set of  $x$ 's span a plane (alternatively, one may notice that, because  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  are constants, the equation becomes the equation for a plane in standard form).

3. We will employ that:  $\sinh(x) + \cosh(x) = e^x$ ,  $\sinh(-x) = -\sinh(x)$ , and  $\cosh(x) = \cosh(-x)$ . We start with  $\sinh(x+y) = \frac{e^{x+y} - e^{-x-y}}{2} = \frac{e^x e^y - e^{-x} e^{-y}}{2}$ , but we notice that we can rewrite each of these  $e^{var}$  terms using the identity stated earlier, so we achieve

$$\frac{(\sinh(x) + \cosh(x))(\sinh(y) + \cosh(y)) - (\sinh(-x) + \cosh(-x))(\sinh(-y) + \cosh(-y))}{2}$$

which, by two of the identities above ( $\sinh(-x) = -\sinh(x)$  and  $\cosh(x) = \cosh(-x)$ ) is equal to

$$\frac{(\sinh(x) + \cosh(x))(\sinh(y) + \cosh(y)) - (-\sinh(x) + \cosh(x))(-\sinh(y) + \cosh(y))}{2}$$

now multiplying out we have  $\frac{1}{2}(\sinh(x)\sinh(y) + \sinh(x)\cosh(y) + \cosh(x)\sinh(y) + \cosh(x)\cosh(y) - \sinh(x)\sinh(y) + \sinh(x)\cosh(y) + \cosh(x)\sinh(y) - \cosh(x)\cosh(y))$ . We notice that the 1st and 5th term cancel as well as the 4th and 8th, and the remaining 4 can be combined into two so we have  $\frac{2\sinh(x)\cosh(y) + 2\cosh(x)\sinh(y)}{2}$ , and the 2's cancel so we may conclude that  $\sinh(x+y) = \sinh(x)\cosh(y) + \cosh(x)\sinh(y)$ .

4. Suppose  $v \neq 0$  and  $\Phi(v, u) = 0, \forall u \in R^3$ . Well, that would mean that  $\exists v_1, v_2, v_3$ , not all zero such that  $\forall u_1, u_2, u_3 \in R, v_1 u_1 + v_2 u_2 - v_3 u_3 = 0$ . Well, suppose you have such  $u_1, u_2, u_3$ , that means that  $u_3 = \frac{v_1 u_1 + v_2 u_2}{v_3}$ , but because  $u_3$  can vary freely, independent of  $u_1, u_2$ , then  $u_3$  may be chosen so that this equation does not hold (for instance, simply add 1 to  $u_3$  and the equation will no longer hold). So therefore one of our assumptions was wrong, namely that  $v \neq 0$ , and thus we must have that  $v = 0$ .

5. Because we know that there exists a bijection between  $H^2$  and  $K$  (where  $K = (x_1, x_2, 1) \in R^3 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 < 1$ ), a proof of Desargues in  $K$  will suffice to prove Desargues in  $H^2$ . We continue with our proof for Desargues in Euclidean geometry from class, as the interior of  $K$  is a Euclidean space with the only modified condition that now all points be  $\in K$ .

$P = k_1 A + (1 - k_1) A'$ ,  $P = k_2 B + (1 - k_2) B'$ , and  $P = k_3 C + (1 - k_3) C'$  (by theorem 1). Thus (by taking the difference of the last two)  $0 = k_2 B + (1 - k_2) B' - k_2 C + (1 - k_3) C' \Rightarrow \frac{k_3 C - k_2 B}{k_3 - k_2} = \frac{(1 - k_2) B' - (1 - k_3) C'}{k_3 - k_2} \Rightarrow L = \frac{k_3}{k_3 - k_2} C - \frac{k_2}{k_3 - k_2} B \Rightarrow (k_3 - k_2) L = k_3 C - k_2 B$ . By similarity, we see that the same is the case for  $M$  and  $K$ , that is we have the following:

$$(k_3 - k_2) L = k_3 C - k_2 B$$

$$(k_1 - k_3) M = k_1 A - k_3 C$$

$$(k_2 - k_1) K = k_2 B - k_1 A$$

Now the sum of these equations means that  $k_2 - k_2)L + (k_1 - k_3)M + (k_2 - k_1)K = 0$  and we notice that the sum of the coefficients  $(k_3 - k_2) + (k_1 - k_3) + (k_2 - k_1) = 0$ , and therefore we may conclude by theorem 2 that  $K, L$ , and  $M$  are collinear.